

(160) Economic Research Team

Technical Scoring Rubric

Required Elements (If any question results in a NO, please assign a score of 0)				
Team followed the topic.				<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
Team followed Copyright and/or Fair Use Guidelines.				<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
If answered no to either question, please stop scoring and provide a brief reason for the <i>disqualification</i> :				
Submission and Formatting (All points or none are awarded by the technical judge)				
Team submitted one (1) combined PDF file containing the Research Paper and Works Cited in the correct format according to the <i>Style & Reference Guide</i> and the BPA Release Form(s).				/10
Evaluation Criteria	Below Expectations 0-10 points	Meets Expectations 11-20 points	Above Expectations 21-30 points	Points Awarded
Comprehension of Topic	Shows limited understanding, with inaccuracies and shallow explanations. Ideas are unclear and poorly connected.	Demonstrates clear understanding with accurate explanations and relevant examples, with logical connections.	Exhibits deep understanding, explaining complex ideas clearly with insightful examples and thorough coverage.	/30
Organization and Expansion of Ideas	The argument lacks structure, with weak transitions and underdeveloped ideas.	The argument is logical and coherent, with clear transitions and well-developed points.	The argument is well-organized, with smooth transitions and thoroughly expanded points, demonstrating clear reasoning.	/30
Introduction/Summary	The introduction and summary lack clarity, with underdeveloped arguments and insufficient evidence.	The introduction and summary present a logical argument, with appropriate evidence supporting conclusions.	The introduction and summary are compelling, with strong evidence and a concise, impactful summary.	/30
Creativity	The response lacks creativity, using generic ideas and limited resources.	The response is creative, offering an original perspective with diverse resources supporting the ideas.	The response is highly original and inventive, with well-integrated resources adding depth and creativity.	/30
Writing Accuracy	Frequent grammar or spelling errors.	Contains some grammar or spelling errors.	Contains very few or no grammar or spelling errors.	/30
TOTAL TECHNICAL POINTS				/160



JUDGES COMMENTS

(160) Economic Research Team (S)

Contestant ID | Team Number _____ Judge Number _____

COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant)

(Judges: Please provide constructive feedback, highlighting both strengths and areas for improvement in your analysis of the competitor.)

AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT:

REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if applicable)